# BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

for dismissal. There are at present ? ductor: and 33 nurses.

I ask the distinguished Senator from Elessachusetts, chairman of the Armed Services Committee, what the committer's intention was in making the reduction. Was it intended to reduce the number of employees of the Veterans' Administration throughout the Nation?

Mr. SALTONSTALL I will answer my friend from Nevada in this way: Neither the House nor the Senate reduced the appropriation for operating expenses in the hospitals. In the opinint of the Administrator, according to his testimony, there is money enough to operate 127,000 beds throughout the country. I cannot answer specifically as to any one hospital, but I can say that it is my understanding that there are sufficient funds to take care of all veterans' hospitals on an operating basis.

If the funds are not sufficient, cer-, tainly the Veterans' Administration will come forward at a later time with a supplemental request for more funds Wis my understanding that there was no reduction from the Budget Director's recommendation on that point.

Mr. MALONE Mr. President, if the Senator from Wisconsin will further yield, I should like to ask the distinguished chairman of the Armed Servnes Committee a further question.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Pur-TELL in the chair). Does the Senator from Wisconsin yield further?

Mr. McCARTHY. I yield, with the same understanding.

Mr. MALONE. The veterans' hospital in Reno, Nev., serves 15 of Nevada's 17 counties. An average Nevada county is about the size of the State of Massachusetts. The hospital also serves 8 counties in California. Reno being within about 20 miles of the Nevada-California boundary. Is it understood that even though there is a deficiency in actual capacity, the hospital being limited, with the present personnel, to 120 beds, there will be sufficient money to increase the actual capacity of the veterans' hospital to 166 beds, or the full capacity, if necessary?

Mr. SALTONSTALL, I believe so. The figures given to us were based upon the expected operating capacity of the hospitals for this year. However, I assure my friend from Nevada that the Appropriations Committee has never been "tight" in considering the operation of veterans' hospitals.

Mr. MALONE. I should like to ask the distinguished chairman of the Armed Services Committee a further question. Did the current head of the veterans' hospital service say to the committee that he would take care of the situation?

Mr. SALTONSTALL. As I may, I cannot answer with respect to any one speeific hamital

Mr. MALONIE. I am talking about the

O'STAR MONTH AND AND SO WAS AND S Check Superior who are some There has been only one change to aren't very many. Don't worry about that declaration of war over the past 161 them."

Left to the control of the control o

Mr. SALTONSTALL. He expected to take onre of all vetorans with serviceconnected disabilities, and, to the extent beds were available, he expected to take care of veterans with non-serviceconnected disabilities.

Mr MALONE. Was it the intention to continue to operate the hospitals at reduced capacity, and then claim that additional capacity was not available for disabled veterans?

Mr. SALTONSTALL. That is not my understanding.

Mr. MALONE. I thank the Senator.

### MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message imwriting from the President of the Cated States was communicated to the Senate by Mr. Miller, one of bis secretaries.

#### THE WAR OF COMMUNISM

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. President, first, I wish to expression very deep appreciation to the ranker of Democratic member on the Perm ment Investigations Subcommittee (\*1 \*13% ELLAN) for consenting to lend me, for the day, the very able minority counsel. Mr. Kennedy, who has been working or, some aspects of the problem which I mitend to discuss today. I deeply appreciate the courtesy of the Senator from Arkansas in consenting to have M. Kennedy present this afternoon so that he can furnish me facts and figures which I may require.

This afternoon I shall take a brief amount of the Senate's time to discuss a developing smuation which disturbs me very decoly. The outcome of this situation may well decree the death or insure the life of our free civilization.

Mr. MAYBANK Mr. President, may we have order, so that we can hear?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Senate will be in order.

Mr. McCARTHY. I thank the Senator very much.

First, however. I should like briefly to review the history of the war in which we are engaged today-a war which was publicly declared 106 years ago in the year 1848 by Karl Marx. As I have so often said before, it is a war which we did not start, and which we cannot stop except by victory or death for this Nation.

Mr. MAYBANK. Mr. President, again I ask that the Senate be in order so that all of us can hear the Senator from Wisconsin.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Wisconsin will suspend.

Mr. McCARTHY. Gladly.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Senate will be in order. The Chair advises the occupants of the galieries that no demonstrations will be permitted. They are requested to remain as quiet as possible. The Senator from Wischnein may present.

Mr. McCARTHY. I thank the Chak and I thank the Senator from Couth Carolina

against the world in 1848, he declared that while the creation of a Communist world could be accomplished in most nations only by bloody revolutions, there were two nations which were exceptions; namely, the United States and England. and that in those two nations communism could be imposed upon the people by infiltration and treason from within the country. That declaration of war against free civilization was modified by Lenin in 1914, at which time he brought up to date the official Communist line. namely, that while Marx was right as of 1848, 66 years later, in 1914, the declaration of war had to be changed to the extent that the policy of the Communist Party from that time forward was the destruction of non-Communist governments by force and violence, and that from that time onward the United States and Great Britain would not be exceptions to the rule, as Marx hadioriginally suggested, and that while much could be done by boring from within, nevertheless the Communist creed was that violence and bloodshed were necessary in the end to destroy all non-Communist pover: ments

Senators know that the new line known as the Marx-Lenin him

During the first 60 years after K 3 Marx declared year on caviliza-1 acre of the worself and a Communist dom nation not we em. the peoples of the world read man Communist chains. The new trategies however, the Communicate did make progress in setting the stage for fature Communist victories by creating well disciplined treasonable of its no various areas of the earth. Thus, for 89 years after the Communist declarate and war they did not control a smale foot of ground on the face of the acad. Thirty-seven years ago, however, in 1947 tree Kaiser's government secretly financial the return to Russia of seven Commannist exiles led by Nicolai Lenni-exiles who had been forced to flee Russia.

Once in Russia they under med the army, they undermined the nevy and the civilian heads of the government by the same methods which the Communists are employing in the United States today, and in 100 days those 7 Communists were literally the masters of Russia. Now, with all the wealth of the nation at their command they, of course proceeded to finance Communist parties in every country in the world. They sent to those countries trained proper and all and spies. Their purpose, obviously, was to infiltrate the governments, and once Communists were in government they in turn brought others in.

As I refer to this history—and I be-As I refer to this history—this I be-lieve it to be measury to do so as a background for what I intend to dis-cuss—and as I make that only 7 traitors had made themselves the masters of 180 million people in 160 days, I cannot help thinking of the testiment taken by our committee a few days ago when an apparently very homest and very sincere witness was testifying about Communist infiltration, and he mid, "Oh, but there aren't very many. Don't werry about them."

tors to increase the number of peop Communist chains. Fromprevector the Communists achieved a more massive victory than was ever achieved by any brutafitarian dictator in the history of this world. One hundred and eighty million people under Communist domination in 1945; in 1952 between 800 and 900 million people in chains and over one-third of the earth's area under Communist control.

In addition to the hundreds of millions in Red slavery in Europe and Asia, the Communists have finally gained a foothold and a potential base for military operations in our half of the world—Guatemala.

In that connection, Mr. President, I am sure Senators have read the news story of May 18, yesterday, stating that a ship flying the flag of one of our allies was used to transport weapons of war to Guatemala, the seat of a potential U.S. S. R. in this half of the world.

Since the end of 1952 the number of le man beings under Communist control has remained about the same. However, on this 19th day of May 1954, there as in progress a communist operation which, if successful, will spread the Red terror over other vast areas of the world. enslave additional hundreds of millions. of people, and might well spell the doom our free civilization.

While the hour is late, it is not too late. We can stul win this war. Of course it would be a waste of my time and a great waste of the time of the able Senators on the floor today if I were merely to discuss the history and danger of the Communist menace. Therefore, today I should like to discuss some suggestion which in my opinion, if adopted, could turn the tide which has been going against us during the past decades, and ultimately result in a free world.

Today I shall discuss only one area of the world. In that connection, I have had maps showing that area placed in the rear of the Chamber. They may be used in the event any Senators feel there are any additional matters they would like to discuss in connection with this subject. The maps are available for the convenience of all Senators.

At the outset let me make it very clear that I freely admit there are many aspects of this extremely intricate and baffling world situation upon which others are much more fully versed.

I am painfully aware—very painfully aware of the fact that today's suggestions for a general plan of action by the United States in the Far East will be hailed by some as "an attack upon the Eisenhower administration." However. with the world going up in flames, and our civilization facing the imminent ment, every fishator and every Repre-sentative has a duty to add whatever contribution he may have, hobits that if all suggested courses of altion are 

bedeviled by the corrosion and the sap-Release 2004/08/15: CIA-RDP69-00-42R00010019000518 Government what at best and in the most charitable mood we can say were gross mistakes. It makes their job difficult almost beyond words.

I may say to my triends on the other side of the aisle that when I talk about 20 years of mistakes, I realize full well that there are some very able men sitting on the Democratic side and if they had been running the administration, If they had been handling the situation, we would not have the difficulties which we are facing today. This is not an indictment against the very, very able men of the opposite party. I often think, "Thank God, we have some good men over there."

As Senators will recall, a few weeks ago television, radio, and newspapers headlined a statement by our very able and conscientious yeurn Vice President that American young men might have to be sent to Indochina. On almost the same day the head of our Poreign Operations Administration aturned from a conference with our European allies and indicated that a great victory had been ; chieved. What was that victory? It was that we would allow our allies to amp the smews of an mond and imilitary them there are enough when a waging, direction, and controlling the war in Indoconna-the sand was Mr. President, that our Vice President says may require the blood of American young men.

As of now the American people are demed the information as to what materials will be shipped by our allies to our enemies.

In that connection, our committee investigated this matter months ago. It was about as nonpartisan an investigation as could be made. The young men who did most of the work were Mr. Robert Kennedy, a Democrat, and Mr. Francis Flanagan, another very able young man. There was no politics in that investigation, Mr. President.

We tried to find out at that time what materials which the United States had listed as strategic war materials were being shipped by our allies to Communist bloc nations, with, of course, no restriction upon reshipment to Red China or Indochina. At that time we ran into an almost unbelievable situation. We were told that this information could not be given to the Congress because it was security information. At that time Mr. Kennedy pointed out that the purpose of listing something as secret or confidential, as security information. was to keep the information from the enemy, and that, of course, the Cemmunists knew what our silies were shipping to them. He pointed out very clearly, I thought to everyone con-cerned, that if the Communists knew what strategic war materials our allies were shipping to them which, of course, they did, then the question would arise as to who was the enemy from whom the information should be kept?

We hever received a satisfactory and afer to that howthe except to 

ter of cold record, and the hearings who cares to Printing Office by anyone have them.

So, as of today, all we know is that materials which we consider strategic war materials are being shipped to Communist nations. What those materials are the Communists know, but the United States Senate cannot get the information because it would violate se-

I sincerely hope, Mr. President, that before this body acts upon any requests for ECA funds it will inform the head of the ECA that the United States Senate is not the enemy and that so long as the Communists know what they are getting, the American people should also have such information.

ARMING OUR POTENTIAL ENEMIES \*

Mr. MALONE. Mr. President, will the Senator from Wisconsin yield?

Mr. McCARTHY. I shall be glad to yield.

Mr. MALONE. I should like to ask the distinguished Senator from Wisconsin if there is not a precedent for shipping critical and strategic war materials to our potential enemics? we not ship petroleum and so ap iron to Japan prior to World War 11?

Mr. McCARTHY. There is no question that we shipped scrap uon and other metals to Japan, together with petroleum and other items. There is no question that American boys died because of those shipments to Japan.

Mr. MALONE. Is it not a fact that the bodies of the American boys we sent into the Pacific area were the targets of the scrap iron we sent to Japan?

Mr. McCARTHY. That is correct. As the Senator knows, there is an extremely serious situation in Indochina. We took a severe beating through the fall of Dien Bien Phu, and the Armageddon may well be fought out, not on the plains of Africa, but in the jungles of Indochina. If we lose Indochina we shall lose all of Asia. If we lose Asia, Japan will fall of its own weight; the Philippines will fall, and there will be a Red Pacific Ocean washing our western shores.

Let me say, further, that Lenin did not keep us in the dark about this matter. He made very clear what Russin's aims were insofar as Asia was concerned. I cannot quote his words verbatim, but, roughly, here is want he said:

He who controls China-

And this was away back in 1914-He who controls Chins will control all of world.

That is what we are faced with today. Mr. MALONE. A great me ny years go it was said that any nation that eantrols the heartland of Asia Burma, south and contral China, and northern eontrol the works. A Burms west outand work. berately. and with a matter

I should like to askApproved Fore Release 2004/08/15 to CIA-RDP69-00642R000400490605-8 is said, I wish more question. Did not England ently announce that tin and rubbe ould be available to Russia in the same manner as to the United States.

Mr. McCARTHY. I may say to the Senator from Nevada that on April 30 the British announced that rubber would be taken off the list of strategic materials. In that connection I think it is important to note that our peacetime economy requires approximately 40,000 tons of rubber a year. We can assume that Russia's peacetime economy requires no more than that amount. In 1952 there were shipped to Communist nations 194,000 tons of rubber. The purpose obviously was stockpiling for a future war and building up their war machine. There can be no question about that.

Mr. Frank Nash, the former very able Assistant Secretary of Defense, appeared before our committee and stated with reference to the shipment of rubber to Russia that its chief use was for direct military support and for the building of war plants which would increase the war potential, or for stock party for war.

Mr. MALONE. Mr. President, will the Schator from Wisconsin further yield?

Mr. McCARTHY. I yield Mr. MALONE. I approclate the ad-dress which the able Senator from Wiscousin is making to the Senate in call are this matter so forcefully to the at ation of that body at this time. But ace the char of World War II has there even any pescation of made with Russia? At one time it 1949 as I recalled blaced in the Record a list of 96 trade treatics which the 17 Marshall plan countries of thrope had with Soviet Russia and the other Iron Curtain countries.

As a matter of fact, if there was an tion Curtain, it was badly dented from our side, because materials were being shipped continually, including ball bearings, engines, tool steel, and everything else needed to fight a war, were continually being shipped to Soviet Russia and the Iron Curtain countries by the European Marshall plan countries.

Mr. McCARTHY. One of the most important items, I may say to the Senator from Nevada, is industrial diamonds. A war machine cannot be built without industrial diamonds. One of our allies over a period of a number of years has knowingly and willfully supplied a great stockpile of industrial diamonds to Communist Russia. Let me make it verv clear that I would not object if only the diamonds necessary for the regular machine tools used in the domestic economy were supplied; but there is no question that an ally, while it was getting billions of American dollars, was allowing Russia to build a stockpile supply of diamonds.

The statement has often been made that when we ship materials to Communist Russia, we obtain important materials in return, and that, therefore, we are helping the free world. In that connection, I desire to refer to a statement by Mr. Gilmore, who was a delegate from Malaya to the 1953 trade conference in Indesesia. He pointed out that Malara, which, as the Semiters know, is illa desentro, per under Brit et 188 million

to Russia in 1951. What did Malaya receive in return?

In return, it received just 40,000 Malayan dollars worth of material, of which \$38,500 was in the form of motion picture film.

During the first 11 months of 1952-Mr. Gilmore went on-the U. S. S. R. imported 28.7 million Malayan dollars' worth of natural rubber. What do the Senators think was received in return? The most strategie war material one could think of. In return for the Malayan rubber. \$27,060 worth of material was received. Most of this, Mr. President, was caviar. 'Laughter,

Mr MALONE On Suite Department many times has ai nounced that we most set manganese and other critical materials from Russiat therefore, we must send goods to Russia in return. Of course, that is asimine. There is more manganese in the Western Hemisphere, including Brazil, than the whole hemisphere can consume in 100 years.

M: M CARIHY, The Senator from Ne sua is touching upon an extremely important subject, na nely, the extent to which the United Sta es has been made dependent abon foreign nations for the minerals weach we shall need in case of war: the extent to which our mines have been snowed to become flooded; the extent to which the point 4 program has been used to open mines in foreign countries, which mines would be unavailable to us, because of the huge Russian submarine fleet, if we should become engaged in a war.

I isk the indulgence of the Senator from Nevada not to go into that subject today. It is a subject which I think requires a great deal of attention, as may be judged from the time it received from the Senator's own committee. I should like to develop other facts, without ; oing into the mining situation, even though I think it is of the utmost importance

Mr. MALONE. Can the Senator identify, for the benefit of the Senate, exactly what the term "cold war" means? We have continued to trade with foreign countries to an even greater extent than before the phrase was coined by the old fox, Churchill. What is there cold about it when it stimulates trade with the potential enemy?

Mr. McCARTHY. For me to try to give the Senate a definition would be a waste of the Senate's time and mine. I think all Senators are as competent to make their own decisions on that point as is anyone else in the Government. I do not desire to impose on their time for that purpose.

When the able Senator from Nevada began to ask me questions. I was discussing the type of materials which are being shipped by our allies, using American dollars, which means, of course, indirect financing.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McCARTHY. I yield.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. I dislike to interrupt the Senator from Wisconsin. but apropos of what the Senator from

to pose this question: Does the Senator from Wisconsin realize that today a Senate committee held a hearing on a proposal to close the only important tin smelter in the United States, and in the Western Hemisphere-a move which would result in making us completely dependent upon foreign sources for our tin?

Mr. McCARTHY. I think the Senator from Texas has raised one of the most important questions which could be raised. It is the same question which the Senator from Nevada 1Mr. MALONE! raised.

My committee started an investigation. of this situation, so far as mining is concerned. Frankly, after 3 or 4 months of preliminary investigation, I was convinced that the United States has been made dependent upon foreign sources for some of our most vital nonerals. I have talked with some of the men who have been in the Government for the past 5 or 10 years, and who know something about the situation. I a ked them why this was done. The answer, an anusual one, was, "We want to preserve the metal in the ground in the United States."

I had with me a mining engineer, who was shocked at this explanation. I cannot, on the floor of the Senate, seed of his conversation; but, in effect the sust. "Don't you gentlemen know that when you allow a mine in the United States, producing tin, to be closed and water it takes 2 to 3 years to put the mine back in operation." The United States 14. becomes dependent on a mine an estaforeign nation which chances are would not be available to this country in care of all-out war.

I do not know whether this closing of our mines has been done through complete ignorance of the subject, or whether it has been planned that way. Nevertheless, the result is the same. Whether one innocently blows a man's brains out or does it deliberately, the man is just as dead either way.

I think the hearing mentioned by the Senator from Texas is very important. If we should continue such practices, and if we should then get into a war in a matter of a year, 2 years, or 3 years, and should be required to cope with the tremendous submarine fleet which the Russians have built, we would be in the same potential position of being strangled to death as the Japanese were in during the last war.

I thank the able Senator from Texas for having asked the question.

Mr. President, when the able Senator from Nevada began to ask me questions, I was discussing the subject of materials being shipped by our allies to our enemies. I had pointed out that the head of the ECA had told me that he could not give us information, because it had to be kept secret.

However, the British Information Service, on April 7 of this year, reporting on the agreement reached in London between the nations receiving American dollars and Mr. Stassen, the hand of our Pereign Operations Adbursing those dollars. Approved For Release 2001/08/15: GIA-RBP69-00642R000100190005-8. Thorneycroft, in the House of Com- HAROLD E STASSEN. mons. This is a quotation from the British Information Service; they had some reason to have it;

We found ourselves in full agreement that, while controls must be maintained on exports of goods which would add directly and significantly to the Soviet bloc's militury capabilities, especially, of course, in unconventional weapons, we should seek a substantial relaxation of the controls on other goods and an expansion of civilian

This quotation from the British Information Service will be found on page 13 of the hearings of the Foreign Relations committee on April 9 of this year

When the British Information Servare selects this statement by a member of the House of Commons it is, of course, for the purpose of making clear the extent to which our allies can trade with the enemy,

There could be no other purpose for election that particular occasion

Mr President, you will note his statement that controls should be maintained a perfally on the shipment of unconvenconsil weapons. Now what is a conventional weapon? Certainly artiflers, Lucks antiaircraft puns, radar jet dunes are all conventional weapons

I do not know what this statement ande in the British House of Common. and which was so important as to be packed up by the British Information service means. The head of our organization which is charged with helping our alies tells us that he cannot say what materials our friends are shipping to our enemy on the ground that this is security information. Therefore all we can do is pick up the cramb- of information which are available to try and find out what our allies are doing The most important crumb I can find is this statement made in the British House of Commons quoted by the British Information Service in regard to especial controls banning shipment of unconventional weapons of war to the enemy. To me this has only one meaning; namely, that they plan to ship conventional weapons of war. Of course, there is the usual gobbledegook, the qualifymg phrase, of course, "we will ship nothing which endangers our security.' But I repeat that unless they plan to ship some weapons of war this statement about the band on unconventional weapons would be surplusage and the British Information Service would not pick it up.

After hearing this extremely disturbing news to the effect that Stassen's organization had agreed that the way for us to fight communism was to give billions of American dollars to our allies to indirectly finance their shipments to Communist-bloc nations, I wrote a letter to the head of the FOA on April 9, 1954. That was about 5 weeks ago. I will not take the time of the Senate to read the letter, but I ask unanimous consent that it be printed in the RECORD at this point.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD. as follows:

Director, Foreign Operations Siminis-Iration, Washington, D. C.
DEAR COVERNOR STATES This subcommit-

(ce has radictarmed a conding, cas interest in the problem of trade with Iro: Curtain countries on the part of ourselves and our allies. So that we might become botter informed as to the problems presently facing this country in this field. I would appreciate it if you would turnish us will the following intermation.

If has come to my attention that certain of our allow note supposed out we reinx a mumber of the contribs on trade with the Iron Curtain countries of goods on lists 1 num 2 of the Buttle Act. " vidently prior he go at recent grop to boundon there was prepared by members a year staff a paper which have a detailer account of the suggestions and future plants from alles in the field. We would area to a generally it it you would send this subcommunion a convolution report. If the report is classified we will of course these it subject to the classification.

if has been rared in the howspapers that mulabers of your staff are remaining in Euregulations, a discussions with our affice regulating Communications (rade It is ther type requested that this subcommittee be confined using mixture around any or ats that are temoved or down , don in the Batthe sof strateen goods. I thus inform from a construct we want of course take mento the cassination III ear

Some construction in that you decrease that you have if each that extends one bank and their exceptions have alterny it commeves the remainment of the work. etrate be a code to constines because the from Curtain. Alterediv they have done this by Phoppata, these goods of the a country which has ter stringent controls than their own, where an turn the roods are transs oped to a Communist country, and 12 by shipping there examine to a composition arrangement them to be transchipped from their behind the Icon Corner. We won'd like to have all indominate . not you for his cave on the individuals involved in these practice.

I would also appreciate it if you would inform this saladministice if store are now mry goods on our embers, a restricted lists which are not similarly controlled by our allies.

Because of our particular interest in trade with Red China, I would appreciate it if you would furnish this subcommittee with a list of those goods which our airies now legally export to Red China but which were on the embargo or restricted list during the Korean War.

Thanking you for your assistance Very truly yourh

July McCarthy, Chairman,

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. President, in that letter I asked for information to which I thought the American people were entitled. On April 141 received an answer. It is very brief, and I shall read it:

Your letter of April 9 to Governor Stassen arrived in this agency yesterday and was called to my attention today. You request certain documents and information on East-West trade controls.

Mr. President, I should like to depart from the reading at this point to say that one of the documents we requested was a 79-page report prepared by competent men in the FOA, stating in detail what the effect would be on the security of this country if our allies should put trade with the Soviet bloc. We asked for the document. I can understand why it was refused.

Proceeding with the letter:

You request certain documents and unformation on East-West trade controls, involving current relations with our allies and matters of such delicacy and importance in United States foreign economic policy that they have been receiving attention at the highest executive-branch levels.

In the absence this week of both Covernor Stassen and his Deputy for Mutu: 196fense Assistance Control, Admiral Del. in I hereby acknowledge receipt of your letter.

Mr. CHAVEZ. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. McCARTHY. I am glad to yield to the Senator from New Mexico.

Mr. CHAVEZ. When the Senator from Wisconsin started his remarks be took to task and complained about the administration which had prevailed up to the 20th of January 1953, which sail right; but, of course, the complaint of the Senator from Wisconsin now is about another administration, which started on the 20th of January 1953. Is that correct?

Mr. McCARTHY. That is correct Mr. CHAVEZ. I thank the Senator

Mr. McCARTHY. I may say to the Senator from New Mexico that where wer I ammate that I think we Republicate are doing anything wrong, I always ear the hue and cry that "M. CARTHY F attacking Eisenhower." I am sure Senators will agree with me that a Secretor takes the same oath of other which a President takes We do not expect any President to be perfect and even a Republican President While I thick that President Eisenhower bas much non steps in the right describer if I Senator feel that there is somethin, we are doing which is wron. I cannot conscientiously live up to my eath of other if I do not state my view. I think that is my duty as a Senator-off corner has any less binding upon me whether to ever is a Republican President of a Damocratic President.

Mr. CHAVEZ. I think the Sen tor from Wisconsin should be complision and for that statement. I have been same oath of office every other Semator has taken, and I do not always agree with our side or even with the minority leader. However, I wanted the state-ment of the Senator from Wisconsh. to be clear that, notwithstanding time but that he has taken to task an admitration which had been in power for 20 years, he is now taking to task a different administration, whether he intends to criticize the President himself or members of his administration.

Mr. McCARTHY, I may say ... Senator that one very important were was taken by President Elisentia within a matter of hours after to the the oath of office. In his state of the Union message, the President discussed the situation which had existed promise his becoming President, when our Seventh Fleet was in effect guarding the Communist coast of China, and assigned to the task of preventing the non-

No. 92-4

Communist navy at Formosa from sink-Communist shipping.

As the Senator may know, I commaned about that during the campaign. I thought I was an unheard-of situation. President Eisenhower, within hours after he assumed office, canceled that immeral, indecent, dishonest order to the Seventh lifted which had required the 'United Fitates Navy," and I quote the President, "To serve as a defensive aim of Communist China"

I desire to make it clear that I think the President has taken many steps in the right direction, but I think the President needs the busine and assistance of Senators on both sides of the assle, even though at times it may be comful to discuss the shortcomings of one's party. In 1950 and 1952 I campainted in almost every State of the thron. One of the statements which i made more than any other was that the Democratic administration should be defeared because, as I said, I felt they were placing party above country. bullet a first and by I be the way we strong at 1 between the temporal forms that one of me, a trade as of faces object was a I brand to the attention of the popula may chorteomia and my party. I see the Senator them New Mexico that when I camous he is a select the Demo-crat's bar the purces stated. I was sincere I was my the promise to the American people to the as I am in the Senate I shall lead a refrain from exposing what I think is wrong. When I think something is a rong in this adrainstration I shall not be fruin from exposing if merely because it may be embarrassmu to my party.

Mr. CHAVEZ The Senator is correct. No one is impurning the metives. honesty, or sincerity of purpose of the Senator from Wisconsin. However, the Senator knows, as everyone else does, that one of the basic rights of the Amerlean people is that of persons who are just as honest and as sincere as those who take a different view, to disagree about certain matters. I think the President is doing a fine job, but because he is doing a fine job I do not think that every time he acts his action is correct. In my opinion, the President is not correct so far as Latin America. is concerned. He sent his brother, Dr. Milton Eisenhower, to Latin America. Dr. Eisenhower is a very fine citizen, a great American, and a patriot, and he told the people of Latin America how we feel about them. Then, within 2 or 3 weeks, 1 of the members of the President's Cabinet, the Postmaster General, undid everything that Dr. Eisenhower had done in Latin America. I believe in the freedom of the press.

I believe in magazines. I believe in newspapers. I believe they are the best agencies to promote good will in Latin America. What did the Postmaster General do which undid everything that the Freedom was trying to do? He naived the meeting charges of American accordance with lating charges of American accordance in Magazines sent to Lating the Magazines sent to Lating the Magazines for the particular section of the Magazines to be provided and the magazines are to discount to the magazines and the magazines are to discount to the magazines and the magazines are to discount to the magazines are the magazines and the magazines are to discount to the magazines are the magazines are the magazines and the magazines are the magazines are the magazines.

I think the Senator from Wisconsin is sincere and honest, and is trying to do what is right. But in many instances I do not a ree with what he is doing—not because I do not think he is trying to do the right thing, but because as he himself has said possibly he might be wrong. Nevertheless we would not question the motives of the Senator from Wisconsin.

Mr. McCART.IY. I thank the Senafor from New Mexico very much.

I should like to make it very clear to the Senate that, while I disagree with some of the things my own administration is doing, I cumpaigned for Mr. Fischnower vicorea symmitted: and if he were summing as ann toxialy, I would cam-. dysam for mm, not because I tionk tion perfect, but because I think his batting reversee is high. As of this moment, a rather unparasent massle seems to be devoluping between our committee and the While House. I know the President frunks has right. Frankly, I think he nusking a mistake. But our disagreement on this matter certainty would not serve me to accepter than to campaign for Fresident Energower if he were raning for Office to day

Mr. Chave. Ar. P. Shert, will the constant from Wischnster the me for a memory further.

The PrimStDL scient RenCLR Does the School term Wascoust, valid further to the School Cham New Jexaco?

Mr. McCAstriffY I am thad to yield.

Art. McCASTHY I am that to yield. Mr CHAVEZ At the one uning of the fieldressel by Senath from Wisconsinated believe every ne agrees with him that we should paven enslavement by any communist government—he mentioned Guatemala. What about the enslavement of the people of Guatemala by economic pressure? Would the Senator from Wisconsin care to give us his ideas on that subject?

Mr McCARTHY. Let me say to the Senator from New Mexico that the ensiavement of people in Guatemala is the same as Communist enslavement anywhere else. Guatemala is under the thumb of the Communist conspiracy, which at this time is attempting to sweep into other Central and South American countries.

Mr. CHAVEZ. That is bad; but what about enslavement by the United Fruit Co.?

Mr. McCARTHY, I cannot answer as to that,

Mr. CHAVEZ. I will tell the Senator from Wisconsin: The people of Honduras and Guatemala are starving under a form of economic enslavement.

Mr. McCARTHY. Let me say to the Senator from New Mexico that I have very often heard it argued that if we could give every person in the world a quart of milk every day and a T-bone steak every day, there would be no Communist threat. I do not feel that is the complete answer. If the Senator from New Mexico will check the history of the United States, he will find that the real Communist traitors were not persons who were born on the wrong side of the tracks." Consider Aleer Hiss. feel for the world the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the was a man of wealth and the persons the way of the way

ment post of honor and trust. Consider William Remington, likewise. They were not traitors because they were honory.

I agree with the Senator from New Mexico that it will be an ideal situation when every human being on earth can have sufficient clothes to wear and sufficient food to eat.

Mr. CHAVEZ. No person should be hungry.

Mr. McCARTHY. But communism has not been brought about by means of poverty. Although poverty may at times aid communism, there are other nations just as poor as Guatemala who are not under the Communist dictatorship. For instance, let me refer, if I may, to Suam, or Thailand. The people of Thailand do not have any more food than do the people of Guatemala. The people of Thailand do not have any more T-bone steaks than do the people of Gustemala. But 99 percent of the people of a hailand are vigorously anti-Communist. That country is one of the bright spots in all of Asia. Of course I, too, would like them to have T-bone steaks threat every day; but there is nothing we can do about that However, we care to something about cutting off the flex of marerials to our enemies.

The point the Senator from New Mexico has raised was made by one of the ablest Members of the Senate I have although I disagree with him times. The question under discussion value of the effect would be if the Unit of the shipped food and other materials are accommunist nations. Would that more them give up communism?

The Senator from New Jer et Mr. SMITH] asked some questions of Mr. Stassen when he recently appeared tefore the Senate Foreign Relations from mittee to which I should like the Arr. They appear on page 17 of the decimes. I am referring now to the discussion about having the United States attempt to alleviate conditions in Committees to accommendations and thus turn them away from communism.

The Senator from New Jersey said:

I agree with you on that. But this troubles me, too, Governor. Under the President's program we want to build our military security but we keep on saying constantly that unless we have our economy built up at home we cannot maintain this posture of strength.

Now, why is that not true also of the Russian situation and why do we give Russia that buildup of economic strength in order to support her military strength? If we think today, as I so, that she really is suffering—and I believe what you said about the gold indicates there is some trouble there at home—why should we not ingrease that greenure at home?

Let me say to the Senator from New Mexico that that quotation may not seem to be a direct answer to the question he has select.

Mr. CHAYER Tes; It is.

Mr. McCarrey. But I am pointing out that although we should elleviate.

We can condition of powerly in this senator pointed out of the senator pointed out of the senator o

Approved For Release 2001/08/15: CIA-RDP69-00942R000100190005-8

cause when we ship food, clothing, or other materials behind the Iron Curtain. Although a man cannot be shot with clothes or food, yet if the Communist nations do not have sufficient food and clothing, they cannot wage war.

Mr. CHAVEZ. Mr. President, everything the Senator from Wisconsin says is correct. But other agents of communism are poverty and starvation. That is what I am complaining about in the case of South America. If we are sermonizing to the world about free people. why not give those people a chance to have something to eat?

Mr. McCARTHY, I agree wholeheartedly with the Senator from New Mexico, that, instead of allowing our allies to ship materials to our enemies. we should first take care of our friends, There is no question about that.

M: CHAVEZ. Mr. President, if the Newstor from Wisconsin will be so kind permit me to speak briefly at this point, I shall make this statement to the Senate and to the country: I believe that the luture of the Western Hemisphere. from Hudson Bay all the way to Patagones depends on the friendship of those countries and the development of their natural resources. if the people of Guatemala have something to ear and a chance to earn a hving, communism all not exist mere. But so long as ach conditions exist as those to which there referred, there will be resentment.

Easically, those people are fine people. They are non-Communist; they do not want to be Communists. But they are now like our forelathers in this country were those who fought for liberty and the pursuit of happiness. That is exactly what the people of those countries are sacking to obtain, and naturally the Communists take advantage of their poverty.

Mr. McCARTHY. I agree wholeheartedly with the Senator from New Mexico that we should do everything we can do to alleviate poverty in any area of the world.

But let me point out to him a situation which I think may serve to illustrate that poverty is not what creates treason.

Mr. CHAVEZ. I am not talking at all about Alger Hiss.

Mr. McCARTHY. We had before our committee 92 persons who were asked whether they were members of the Communist conspiracy. Some of them were asked whether they belonged to an espionage ring or a sabotage ring. All of them refused to answer as to their Communist affiliations on the ground that their answer might incriminate them-in other words, that it might cause them to go to jail.

I took some trouble to check into the backgrounds of a majority of those 92 persons—fifth amendment Communists and I found that, to a man, not one of them was a Communist because of poverter.

Let me cite one case in particular. an by the name of Henry Canning esse was before the subcommitwas before the subcommittee I asked

Mr. Archdeacon, if we were to have war with Communist Russia, and if the Commurust Palty ordered you to sabolage facilities as the seal Electric would you alsobey that cover a the Communist Patty?

#### The answer was:

I refuse to answer that Mr Senator, on the ground that my answer mucht tend to the conducate me.

be other words, he refused to answer on the around that the truth might send Lam to make

Mi CHAVEZ He double be nunhad. But are we going to blame 165 million other people in the United States lacause there are 92 traitors? Or are gent; to uphold the American ideals of liberty? As the Semitor knows, every State in the Union has a penitentiary. Are we going to blame the fine, honest Charten people of every State because the State pendentiary is full of crimiunb.? That is the only point I am tryto bake. Tknow there are Commu-I know the Senator has proved that there are Communists. I know that poverty is not responsible for all Communists. The point mount batin Asserbed is that the people of those countions do not want to be Comments, but they play into the hands of Communists. because of economic conditions. That is the only point. I no not disagree with the Senator.

Mr. McCARTHY. I thank the Sen-

.Mr. President after the Senator from New Jersey IMr. Smitht: had questioned Mr. Stassen about the effect of shipping. not weapons of war, but materials which would help the economy of those Communist bloc nations. I tried to find Mr. Stassen's answer. The question appeared on page 17. I turned to the next page. I could not find anything that appeared to be an answer there. I did find what apparently was the answer: and I call this to the attent on of the Appropriations Committee and of the Senate, and ask Senators to bear it in mind when we come to the question of appropriating money for ECA.

Apparently the answer to the question as to why we are allowing our allies to ship tractors, machine tools, and what have you, to the Communist bloc nations, is in this statement by Mr. Stassen:

I put it this way, Senator: We are, in this new policy, seeking to open up the Iron Curtain to what might be called the free world's merchants of a better life.

I wonder if there is any Senator present who can understand that statement. Let me repeat it:

I put it this way, Senater: We are, in this new policy, seeking to open up the Fron Curtain to what might be called the free world's merchants of a better life.

When the free world ships 194,000 tons of rubber to Communist blee nations at a time when their peacetime economy requires only 40,000 tons. I cannot understand in what way that helps to open them up to what might be called the free surid's merchants of a better life.

Mr. Dulles, in a very frank discussion of lifedochina, at the Bota Station on

the 7th of April, I believe, had this to say about the situation in Indechina:

The fighting is carried on by persons who are trained in Communist China across the northern border from Vietnam. They are equipped there and they go back to fight with artiflery and ammunition which is supplied by or through Communist China Milltary advisers, technical people to operate the artillery and communications systems. their trucks and means of locomotion, all come from Communist China.

That is the statement of our Secretary of State. Six days later the Washington Star carried an article by a Mr. Reper. to the effect that an American intelligence report detailed the fact that Sovet and Chinese Communist advisers were being used down to the division level in Indochina, and that "Communist political control continues down to the company level in the military and is spread throughout the administrative Lierarchy."

Thus the pattern is set.

First. In Indochina there is being wased a battle for the control of all of This obviously is a battle for the ultimate control of the entire Pacific If won by the Communists, it would be the gravest threat ever posed to these United States.

Second. According to our intellernce reports, that war is being directe afrom Moscow and Perping.

Third. The Vice President, is moing the seriousness of the situation, makes the statement that American your, men may have to fight in Indochina

Fourth. The head of our foreign-aid program agrees that our allies should continue receiving American dollars, even though they are shipping the sinews of economic and military strength to the same enemy who, according to the Vice President, may some day be killing American boys in Indochina.

As previously stated, we do not know exactly what materials our allies are shipping to the Communist-bloc nations. because those in charge have taken the position under oath that it would be a violation of security to have the United States Congress and the American people know what our allies are shipping to our enemies.

Perhaps of equal importance is the use of ships by our allies to carry goods from a Communist-bloc nation to Red China which, according to Secretary Dulles, is supplying the weapons of war for the Communists in Indochina

As the Senators know, the total shipping available in the entire world is, roughly, 81 million tons. Seventy eight million tons are controlled by the non-Communist nations. If the Communist nations had available only the 3 million tons of Communist shipping, the effect which this would have upon the Communist warmaking potential of the Communists in Asia is too obvious even to erit discussion. In that connection, our committee which was investigating ing between Communist-bler nations and Red China during the Morean war found that approximately 75 percent of the chine entering the parts of Red Chine deep the Base of Allies receiving Let me repeat that. Our investment on showed that during the Korean war—and we are having a repetition of it now, so far as Indochina is concerned—75 percent of the ships carrying material to Red China were flying the flors of our aibes.

An even more disturbing situation in regard to the ships supporting Resignant to the ships supporting Resignant that shipping liters of our Alices were having their tessel, therefore to carry MISA and other United States Government-incanced cargoe, while during the same period of time the same ship or ships belonging to the same company were carrying goods to China.

In 1952 alone, for example, the owners of at least 85 of the 193 vessels which had carried cargoes to and from Committed Chana also owned vessels which carried United States Government-franced goods. Fifteen of the 85 vessels were in 1971, trade.

I restine that figures sometime may seem boring, but there figures are of the atmost impositione. They show a petime of indecency, distributed with the enemy. Fitness of the stade with the enemy. Fitness of the solves of referred to were made that some Test China and alone what I mised solves Covernment bearing decreased. I mised solves Covernment bearing decreases, for early of the solves as before of the extrest who find other vesses before of the extrest who find other vesses the way. The Wilbermert Co. of Norway when the Wilbermert Co. of Norway when the firespect of the early 1953, while during the same period of time the company was mending 8 other of its ships in and out of Chinese Communist ports.

In other words, the United States Government was contracting with shipping companies to carry goods to combat communism—goods paid for by American taxpayers, while the same shipping companies were also carrying cargoes in and out of Red Chinese ports. To have the firms of our allies on the one hand carrying United States goods to stem the tide of communism and on the other hand trafficking with the Communist enemy in China is a situation which requires no comment.

The subcommittee made a suggestion to the executive branch of the Government that such firms be made to choose between carrying our cargoes and cargoes for the Chinese Communists. We felt that this would have the effect of eliminating this immoral "dual blood trade." In view of the fact that the United States transports a far larger volume of goods than that carried in and out of Chinese Communist ports, we felt that most of the shipowness from a purely monetary viewpoint would choose to side with the United States and thus cut off a considerable source of shipping to the Communist.

the Cotton

parameter for the broader ators in order to are amplied a month, about a conjugative.

We did not agree with this fantastic reasoning and we made our position vigorously the r. We pointed out that American shapedness had not taken but in this China trade for the past 3 years and that therefore, we did not feel that paying foreign shipowners the free choice of "the near to" was being unduly haish. It was to no assal. This American-sponsored allowed trade" continued the outpliest the Korean war.

I may point out Mr President, that

I may point out Mr President, that for 3 years now we have said it is wrong and it is alleged for Am rican shipowners to teamsper asymptotic to any Red Chinese part. If that decision is right for us, it should be right for our allies also. It, on a follow hand, it is right for our allies to counce in this moneymath, a blood that it is right for our shipowhers to do the same thing. The consider councile councile councile councile.

To what explain practice still continue, namely the produce of continuence the use of 20% parks to finance there are progressed for not know, because out had minimised has been britty fred to for some time past by a very imperfect investigation, as the Charles of here.

When we a heartful efficials of FOA whether they would cut agree that it we consider a many per to pay ship-pick company of carrying goods to are carrying goods to our alice to help 'hem to tight Commuas is, and at the same time pay the same company for energing cargoes to Red China to help them to spread communo m-when we appeared that any commany which was carrying goods to Red China should not be receiving American dollars to carry cargoes to fight communism, the answer of the State Department was "this proposal would be a kind of blacklisting operation aimed at penalizing foreign ship operators in order to accomplish a control objective."

To quote Alice in Wonderland, "Things get curiouser and curiouser."

A most disturbing feature of the use of our allies' vessels is that they did not confine their activities to trading between their own home ports and Communist China. They carried cargoes also from Communist ports in Europe to Chinese Communist ports. If Moscow for example, wished to send supplies to China and a Polish or a Russian vessel was not available to carry them, they could charter a Norwegian, a French, or a British ship to carry the cargo. What is more unbelievable, a number of our allies in the United Hations allies the United Hations allies in the United Hations allies to be used to carry eargues for the Chinese Communists up and down the Chinese coast. If the Chinese wished to move a cargo from central Chine to the port of Darien, the gateway of the Korean wer, they could and the charter British we perform the task. As one as carly 1868 the British-Blow

The seriousness of this Communist intuation trade can only be understood when we remember that the Communist enemy in Korea was, and now in Indochina is being supplied and compped for the most part from China, U. S. S. R., and other Communist countries of Europe. Because of tremendous transportation problems, it is impossible for the U. S. S. R. and her European satellites to supply China and Indochina with war materials completely by land. They have to rely on ocean transportation.

In that connection, Mr. President, I invite attention to the map in the rear of the Chamber. Our intelligence information indicates—and there is nothing secret about this information, that as of today ships flying the flags of our arises are transporting war material from Communist bloc nations to Communist ports in China, and through three mous tain passes the material is coing shipped overland into Indochina.

Mr President if that riston are demed to Red China and Indochant the war in Indochant, just as perfam as we sit here this afternion, would divisionable would fail because of the account munificals.

I know there are flowers, asked 7 of noistrate, a materials only are shows shoped. But I should be to read a fine Senior teday—and those a validate only Senior who cares at look at the a typical ship's mainfest. Seniors in derstand, of course that when a British ship stops at the Communist port of Gdyma, for example, and takes on a load of 10,000 tons of carso and their proceeds to a Communist port in Rea China, the only way we can set any idea of what is in the hold of that vessel is by reading the mainfest.

Mr. President, paper does not refuse ink. Anything can be put on a manifest. There is no inspection. I have before me a manifest which I believe to be rather interesting. I should like to quote briefly from it. Incidentally, for the benefit of the press, I have given them a copy of the prepared text, which indicates that this ship is a British-flag vessel. It was flying the Greek flag, but was owned by a British corporation. I should like to quote one of the special conditions contained in the manifest:

The vessel has liberty to call at any port or ports for any purpose, but the vessel is not to tough United Sistes of America, or Canada, or Philippine, or Jepaness ports prior to arrival at destination, and must not proceed via Panama Canal.

The name of the vessel is the Arietta, and its destination, was the Communist port of Wampee in Rad China.

I think this is very revealing. The manifest shows that the ship was carrying fertilizer in the amount of 10,660 tons. If the ship was carrying fertilizer, why should the captain have orders not to stop at any American port or any Publispine port or any Japanese port, or to pass through the Penama Canal? The reason is very obvious. The ship period not stend inspection of that cargo of feetilizer.

**10-15**000

carrying only nonstrategic materials. I cannot quote him verbatim, but he told us that a ship carrying 10,000 tons of fertilizer, according to its manifest, blew up on the high seas before it reached Red China. As one of the Senators from a farming area said, "That is the most explosive fertilizer I ever heard of, Mr

As Mr. Kennedy points out, there was a story in yesterday's newspapers in regard to a Swedish ship coming from a Polish port to supply arms to the Communists in Guatemala. The manifest of that ship stated it was carrying hardware. However, the State Department has announced that the cargo was in part guns.

Mr. President, as I before stated, I think President Eisenhower took one impercant step in the right direction within hours after he took office, but it was only a step. I should like, in all sincerity, to make some suggestions as to further steps that I feel he should take in the security interests of the considery,

As Senators will recall during the Truman-Acheson regime our Seventh Flect had instructions to prevent any attack upon the Communist court of China by the non-Communist troops of Chiane, Kai shek on Formosa. I think Amortsador Ballitt de enhed the state four very well in his fest in any before the committee when he testified:

see dita Communist Chinese Navy is forbraden to act in any way by order of our Cover ament which has given orders to our fleet to prevent it from stopping the Communist supply ships going up to Korea. They sail right by Formosa, equipped with Soviet munitions put in the Polish Com-munist ships in Gydnia. They come all the way around and go right by Formosa and sail past there taking those weapons up to be used to kill American soldiers in Korea, and by order of our Government the Chinese Navy is fiatly forbidden to stop them on their way up there.
Senator Warkins, Would the Chinese Navy

have the power, except for that order, to intercept them and capture them?

Ambassador Bullitt Certainly, question, without question. (McCarran committee, April 8, 1952.)

Mr. President, I should like to read what President Eisenhower said in his state of the Union message:

This meant in effect that the United States Navy was required to serve as a defensive arm of China. • • • This permitted those Communists with greater impunity to kill our soldiers and those of our United Na-tions allies in Korea. • • I am, therefore, issuing instructions that the Seventh Fleet ne longer be employed to shield Communist

As I said before, this certainly was a step in the right direction. It showed that our President was trying to fulfill his campaign promise and that he realized the gravity of the situation. But, Mr. President, this is not enough. I think in fairness to President Eigenhower, we should realize that his job is remendans.

china; No. 2, if, as Secretary Dulles says, the antiaircraft guns and artillery and other weapons of war are being supplied by the Red Chinese: No. 3 if as Army Intelligence reports say, the technicians and guiding orders are from Moscow and Peiping; then it is criminal folly to give money to "allies" who, according to statements in the British House of Commons, are shipping these sinews of military and economic strength to our enemy,

As I have previously said, Mr. President, of 81 million tons of shipping available to the entire world, non-Communist nations control 73 million tons. If we dony that shipping to the Communists it will be a death blow to their war-making power, and the war in Indochina will dry up and the over night.

They are receiving American dollars as of today, so we are incirectly financum their shipments to the coast of Red China, shipments of materials which, in turn, are transshipped through passes and are being used to kill our friends in Indochina and will be used to kill American boys if we send them there

How can we keep any of that 78 million tons of shipping from serving the Communist bloc nations. We can do it by simply telling our nihe's that they will not get one American dellar while any of their flac ships are phying this immoral, dishonest indecent trade, and further that they will not get one cent of American money while they continue to ship to the enemy a smalle item which will help that Communist enemy to wage

As the Senate will recall, last year this matter of trade with Red China was before the Senate. I introduced two amendments to the mutual aid bill to provide that dollars would be denied our allies if they shipped goods to Red China and additional dollars would be denied them if their flag ships were carrying goods to our enemy. I refer Senators to the Congressional Record of July 29. 1953, in which Senators who opposed my amendments made it clear that they felt this matter would be taken care of without congressional action.

At that time, Mr. President, I did not share their confidence that the matter would be taken care of without legislation. I felt that unless Congress moved in, this blood trade would continue to increase. That has proved to be true, I derive no satisfaction from the fact that I was right a year ago. I only wish I had been wrong and that my colleagues who thought no legislation was needed were right. But, after the head of our Foreign Operations Administration, which is in charge of dispensing money to nations so they can help we fight communism, makes an agreement which allows our American-Granced allies to ship to the Communists materials which we still have listed as strateric war materials, and when we have seen that happen, I think there can be

lation was unnecessary and who then stated in the RECORD that they thought the matter could be taken care of without legislative action, may realize that after another dreary year has passed Congress should take action.

Mr. President, I sincerely hope that the Senate will refuse to take action on any foreign-aid funds until the head of the Foreign Operations Administration does what he has heretofore refused to do, namely, submit a detailed list of every type of material which it has been agreed our allies can ship to our enemies. There is no reason on God's earth why the American people should not frankly and honestly be given a complete picture of the extent to which their dollars are being used, through our allies, to strengthen our enemies.

As I have said a thousand times before, it is not possible to hide this matter behind the cloak of security. The only reason for using the label "secret." "top secret," or "classified information ' is to keep the information from the enemy. When information is classified as stemrity information merely to keep it from the American people, the Government simply is not being honest with them

It should now be crystal clear even to the blind that Congress has no choice but to take action, not next year, not next month, but at the earliest to an ivable moment. We can still bring more out of dishonor, we can still brine decency out of indecency. There are two courses of action which I strongly urge upon the executive branch. First, I urge that the Communist coast of Chana can be blockaded to a great extent merely by giving our allies the information that they will not get 1 cent of American money so long as they either ship goods to Red China or allow their ships to be used to carry cargoes to Red China.

Second, we should, at long last, let Chiang Kai-Shek, who now has 23 divisions of well-trained soldiers on Formosa, know that he has our approval if he decides to return with his troops to the mainland of China.

The time is short. The sands in the hourglass of time are rapidly running out. But we still have time.

In conclusion, I ask the Senate to ponder, and I ask the American people to ponder, one question: How can I, as a United States Senator, or how can any of the other 94 United States Senators there is now one vacancy—under his oath of office, vote to send the sons of American mothers to fight in the jungles of Indochina unless, first, every possible step is taken to make sure that when they get there they will not be killed with the weapons which, unfortune tely, are financed by American dollars?

As of today, we have taken out of the pockets of each and every one of the 160 million American people money which has been sent to our allies. I voted to As vice President Nines and, there is stone action is manifestly required.

I may say, Mr. President, that when allies in their fight against commun and that and styring in Indiana.

The lift of the second of the

pockets of every American, and have sent it to our allies, and our allies are shipping the sinews of military strength to an area of the world to which our Vice President has said it may become necessary to send American boys. I am not criticizing the Vice President for having made that statement. Certainly Indochina must not be lost. If it is lost, we should be well on the way to a Communist world. The point I wish to make is that we must not even remotely think of sending American boys to the jungles of Indochina while we are financing the shipments of guns which will kill those young men after they get there. If this is permitted to happen, we shall be doing the same as we did in Korea.

There might have been some reason for the gross mistakes which were made in Korea, or the treason, call it what we may; but we should have learned a lesson by the 19th day of May 1954. There is no reason why there should be a repetition of what happened in Korea.

## HEARINGS BEFORE SUBCOMMITTEE OF COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

Mr. LEHMAN. Mr. President, the aspension of the inquiry into the Army's charges against Senator McCarthy and of Senator McCartify's charges against the Army has deeply disturbed the majority of the people of this country. If there is indeed any effort to bring the hearings to a close without a complete inquiry into the allegations and a final determination of the facts, the American people will resent such an effort. I personally will strongly oppose any such effort and will do everything in my power, as a Member of the Senate to defeat it. I hope and trust that the Senate will insist, if it is necessary for the Senate to intervene in the matter, that the hearings continue until the charges are sifted and the facts are established.

The American people would equally oppose—and I hope the Senate would respond to that opposition—any effort to curtail the public nature of the hearings and to conduct them in secret rather than in the light of day. Such an effort if successful would, in my judgment, do very great harm to the Senate and to the country as a whole. The people's confidence in the Senate, which has already undergone significant deterioration, would be further lessened.

I hope that when the subcommittee reconvenes next Monday the decision will be made to resume public hearings, and to resume them promptly.

The issue raised by President Eisenhower in his directive, ferbidding the members of the essecutive department from discharing what went on at an executive configurate, does not constitute, in my judgment, any ground whatever for suspending the hearings or curtaffing them in any way. That issue, although important, is beautiful investigation to the

the past for failing utterly to do what now, at long last, he has done in one specific case.

He has failed completely in the past to protect the legitimate prerogatives of the executive department against unwarranted and unjustified legislative encroachments. He has in the past permitted the demarcation between the executive and legislative departments to be completely blurred.

He has tolerated neep inroads by congressional committees, by congressional committee chairmen, and by individual Members of Congress into the executive branch of the Government. The authority of the executive branch has been thereby diminished, and the morale of its employees deeply impaired.

The constitutional balance of Government has uself been shaken.

One of those who has most successfully led the charge against the proper powers of the executive branch has been the junior Senator from Wisconsin. It has been going on for 2 years now, and in almost every case the administration has surrendered or compromised.

But this history is no justification for a further surremoer at this time. In this the the Chief Executive is correct and should be supported. Of course, my knowledge of the situation is limited to what has appeared in the newspapers. The georbers of the subcommittee, inclading my Democratic colleagues on the subcommutee, may have facts in their possession which have impelled them mal will impolablem to press this issue further. I do not knew those facts. Spraking only to the question of princirle, I believe that the President is correct in protecting the confidence of policy discussions at an executive level.

As the President himself points out, his order does not foreclose testimony on matters where communication was directly between any of the principals in the controversy within the executive branch on the one hand and a member of the subcommittee and its staff on the other. I do not see any reason why the President's order should impede in any way the progress of the investigation or further interrupt the course of the hearings.

There are many deeply important and significant matters which remain yet to be explored to their ultimate conclusions.

There is the question of who is responsible for the faked photograph.

There is the question of who transmitted the phony FBI letter to the junter Senator from Wiscensin, in vicintion of law.

There is the question of why the Senator from Wisconsin will not disclose the name of that person, who, on the face of it, obviously violated the law and is guilty of one of the most serious violations of military security.

There is the grave question of whether the Senator from Wiscomen has the right to refuse to displace the name of such person.

tirely unanswered. All the basic issues are entirely unresolved.

As I have previously said the "hearings must not be permitted to go underground. This investigation must not become a whitewash. All hearings should be inpublic save when considerations of national security are clearly indicated."

A discontinuation, or even a further suspension of the hearings would, as pointed out in the New York Times this morning, be an unjustified victory for the junior Senator, from Wisconsin. Even more seriously, it would confuse and deeply disturb the American people. It would constitute a terrible blow to the prestige of both the executive and legislative branches of our Government.

Mr. MORSE, Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. LEHMAN. I yield to the Senator from Oregon.

Mr. MORSE. I am very much interested in the remarks of the Senator from New York. I agree with him that the doctrine of separation of powers as laid down by the President is sound constitutional law. It is the same doctrine that Usupported at the time of the MacArthur hearings, when an attempt was made to require General Bradley to testify as to what happened at the White House conference prior to the decision to use American forces in Korea. The Recogn will show that at that time I supported the position of the chairman of the committee that General Bradley should not be required by the committee to answer the question put to him by the senior Senator from Wisconsin | Mr. WILEY | as to what transpired at that conference on the ground of the doctrine of the separation of powers.

There was a brief discussion of the question in the committee. Some members took the position that the general did not have to testify because there was involved the doctrine of privilege. It was my opinion, and it is still my opinion, that the doctrine of privilege had nothing to do with it. The doctrine of privilege relates to the relationship of privilege relates to the relationship of lawyer and client, doctor and patient, or priest and parishioner. It was my opinion that the general was justified in refusing to answer the question on the basis of the doctrine of separation of powers.

In this instance I believe that the President of the United States has done no more than to bring up to date the application of the doctrine which previously in our history has been applied by other Presidents, although I think he is somewhat beliated in the application of the doctrine.

However, I should like to have the opinion of the Senator from New York on a question which does disturb me. In the McCarthy hearings there have been charges made by the Senator from Wisconsin against the Army and by officials of the Army shall be denoted by officials of the Army shall be fenator from Wisconsin. The Senate is endeavoring the fenator from the Senator is endeavoring to the Army shall be truth is with respect to the Army shall be truth in with respect to the Army shall be truth in the senator of t